Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,334	KANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
THUY-AI N. NGUYEN	1796	

	THUY-AI N. NGUYEN	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office as set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, ever may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
AMENDMENTS A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
	hut prior to the data of Elips a brief				
 ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. \(\subseteq\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because see the attachment.					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).					
_					
/James J. Seidleck/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amended claim 1 changes the scope of claims originally depending on claim 1.